Local Scoring Guidelines: Renewal PH-RRH, and PH-PSH <u>with</u> an APR
FY2024/25 HUD CoC Competition

Threshold Requirements:
- Match at 25% for eligible activities (all budget line items, except leasing)
- As applicable, participate in the most recent PIT, HIC, and LSA
- Participates in HMIS
- Accepts referrals through Coordinated Entry
- Meet all requirements listed in the Supplemental or Annual NOFO (part of esnaps review)

Projects which meet all the threshold requirements will be scored according to the following guidelines:

WEIGHT	CATEGORY	EVALUATION CRITERIA
30%	**Project Effectiveness**	- Program Best Practices - Housing First - Consumer input - SLVCEH Strategic Plan - Ensure homelessness is rare, brief, and one-time - Target Population - Review of HUD monitoring results - Review of HUD inspection requirements - Housing Placement Process - Process/time from prioritization to voucher - Process/time from voucher to housing placement - Operating as an integrated network in evaluation criteria - Commitments from range of service providers; integration of education, health, etc. - Commitments to provide case management coverage - Utilize coordinated entry and identifying housing units to quickly move persons experiencing homelessness into stable housing - Address racial disparities to ensure equity
10%	**Budget/Application Quality**	- Drawdown rate - Expenditure History - Cost per positive outcome - Followed instructions - Submitted on time, with all required attachments - Responses provide sufficient detail and are appropriate for the type of project and target population
10%	**Data Quality**	Program level DQ review: accuracy and timeliness
50%	**Need & Performance**	How well does the program demonstrate it is needed locally? - Occupancy / Average Daily Unit Utilization - Hard to Serve Populations/Barriers to Housing - Percentage of entries with no income % Entries disabled How well does the program help the community improve system performance measures/achieve positive client outcomes? - Returns to Homelessness /negative exits - Exits to Permanent Housing - Increased income

2024/25 NOFA Scoring Tool   Renewals with an APR

Weight	Criteria Category	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria	Point Range			Total
30%	**Project Effectiveness**	Program Best Practices	Agency Application	Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 2			**10**
		SLVCEH Homeless Policy Priorities		Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 2			**10**
		Review of HUD monitoring results		Score of 1-5			**5**
		Review of HUD inspection requirements		Score of 1-5			**5**
		Housing Placement Process: Process/time from prioritization to voucher		Score of 1-5			**5**
		Housing Placement Process: Process/time from voucher to housing placement		Score of 1-5			**5**
		Integrated Network: Partnerships		Score of 1-5			**5**
		Integrated Network: Case Management Coverage		Score of 1-5			**5**
		Coordinated Entry/Identifying Units		Score of 1-5			**5**
		Integrated Network: Utilizing Coordinated Entry/Identifying Units		Score of 1-5			**5**
10%	**Budget/Application Quality**	Quarterly Drawdowns	LOCCs Report verified by Field Office/Agency Application	Score of 1-5			**5**
		Expenditure History	Agency Application	within (+ or -) 5%	within (+ or -) 10%	>10%	**5**
		Followed instructions, Timely submission, with attachments	Agency Application	Score of 1-5			**5**
		Responses sufficient detail and appropriate	Agency Application	Score of 1-5			**5**
10%	**Data Quality**	HUD and local Data Quality Standards	Data Quality Report, Application Narrative	Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 4			**20**

2024/25 NOFA Scoring Tool   Renewals with an APR

Weight	Criteria Category	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria	Full Points	Half Points	0 Points	Total
30.0%	**Need and Performance (All Projects)**	Occupancy / Average Daily Unit Utilization	Unit Utilization Rate / 2018 Application Data	>90%	80-90%	<80%	**10**
		Percentage of entries with no income	APR Q16	>40%	19-40%	<19%	**10**
		Leavers who exit to shelter, streets or unknown	APR Q23a & 23b	<10%	10-15%	≥15%	**15**
		Returns to homelessness	HMIS Report: Measure 2a and 2b: Persons who Exit Homelessness to PH Return to Homelessness	<15%	15%-45%	>45%	**10**
		Percentage of adult stayers who increased earned income	APR Q19	>10%	5-10%	<5%	**3.75**
		Percentage of adult stayers who increased non-employment income	APR Q19	>20%	10-20%	<10%	**3.75**
		Percentage of adult leavers who increased earned income	APR Q19	>10%	5-10%	<5%	**3.75**
		Percentage of adult leavers who increased non-employment income	APR Q19	>20%	10-20%	<10%	**3.75**
20.0%	**Project Specific Need and Performance (PSH)**	% entries disabled	APR Q13a2	>85%	65-85%	<65%	**15**
		Percentage of participants who remain in PSH or exited to permanent housing	The % of stayers and leavers to permanent housing during the operating year	>80%	70-80%	<70%	**25**
20.0%	**Project Specific Need and Performance (RRH)**	% entries disabled	APR Q13a2	>40%	20-40%	<20%	**5**
		Percentage of participants who exited to permanent housing	The % of stayers and leavers to permanent housing during the operating year	>70%	60-70%	<60%	**10**
		On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	APR Q22c	<60	61-180	>180	**25**

Local Scoring Guidelines: Renewal PH-RRH, and PH-PSH <u>without</u> an APR
FY2024/25 HUD CoC Competition

Renewal Housing Projects without an APR

WEIGHT	CATEGORY	EVALUATION CRITERIA
30%	**Capacity/Application Quality**	• No/minor past audit or monitoring findings or concerns (fiscal or program) from HUD or independent auditors • Grant management system in place for complying with government grants • Involvement in the local homeless housing and services system or local low-income housing and services system. • Effective record of administering government funded housing programs for homeless persons or housing for low-income persons. • Followed instructions • Submitted on time, with all required attachments • Responses provide sufficient detail and are appropriate for the type of project and target population
70 %	**Need**	• Summary of activities carried out since funds awarded • Demonstrate need using data from Housing Inventory Chart and Point in Time Count. • Impact on community performance related to HUD system performance measures including promoting racial equity • Timeline for project implementation • Plan to fully expend HUD funds • Rapidly securing housing for clients • Assisting clients with employment/other income • Target population ○ (DV) Trauma-informed and client-centered policies • Utilization of Housing First

2024/25 NOFA Scoring Tool　　　　　Renewals without an APR

Renewal Housing Projects without an APR

Weight	Criteria Category	Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria	Point Range	Total (200 points)
30%	Capacity	Audits/Monitoring Review	Local Application	Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Grant management system		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Involvement in the local homeless system		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Record of administering government funded housing programs		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Followed instructions, Timely submission, with attachments		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Responses sufficient detail and appropriate		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
70%	Need	Summary of activities carried out	Local Application	Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4	20
		Target population	Local and Esnaps	Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4	20
		Utilization of Housing First		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 6	30
		Demonstrate need using data	Local Application	Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Impact on community performance including promoting racial equity		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Timeline for project implementation		Score of 1-5	5
		Plan to fully expend HUD funds		Score of 1-5	5
		Rapidly securing housing for clients		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4	20
		Assisting clients with employment/other income		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4	20

Local Scoring Guidelines: Renewal SSO-CE
FY2024/25 HUD CoC Competition

Renewal Coordinated Entry Project

WEIGHT	CATEGORY	EVALUATION CRITERIA
30%	**Capacity/Application Quality**	• No/minor past audit or monitoring findings or concerns (fiscal or program) from HUD or independent auditors • Grant management system in place for complying with government grants • Involvement in the local homeless housing and services system or local low-income housing and services system. • Effective record of administering government funded housing programs for homeless persons or housing for low-income persons. • Followed instructions • Submitted on time, with all required attachments • Responses provide sufficient detail and are appropriate for the type of project and target population

70 %	**Need**	• System Function
		    o Clients are prioritized/referred as appropriate
		• Inclusive coordination with stakeholders
		• System design that is accessible for all persons seeking information regarding homelessness assistance
		    o (DV) Trauma-informed and client-centered policies
		• Advertised program to reach homeless persons with the highest barriers
		• Standardized assessment process
		• Ensuring that program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services

2024/25 NOFA Scoring Tool   Renewal Coordinated Entry

Renewal Coordinated Entry **Project**

Weight	Criteria Category	Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria	Point Range	Total (200 points)
30%	Capacity	Audits/Monitoring Review	Local Application	Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Grant management system		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Involvement in the local homeless system		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Record of administering government funded housing programs		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Followed instructions, Timely submission, with attachments		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
		Responses sufficient detail and appropriate		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2	10
70%	Need	System Function-current implementation	Local Application	Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 8	40
		Inclusive plan for coordination w/ stakeholders	E-snaps & Local Application	Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4	20
		System design that is accessible		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4	20
		Strategy for advertising		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4	20
		Standardized assessment process		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4	20
		Directed to appropriate housing and services		Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4	20